

Flex Program Logic Model - Financial & Operational

Inputs <i>Resources needed to do the work</i>	Activities <i>Strategic processes or actions</i>	Outputs <i>Direct products, tools, and/or services</i>	Outcomes <i>Improvements that will drive impact</i>	Impact <i>End goals</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flex funding to 45 states Flex Program Cooperative Agreements (TASC, FMT, RQITA) CAHMPAS data Research papers/Policy briefs 	2.1 Statewide operational and financial needs assessments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CAHMPAS data used effectively to inform needs assessment Needs assessment completed and financial status identified Evidence-based or high potential financial or operational improvement interventions/ activities identified to address needs Needs assessments and best practices shared with hospitals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> State Flex Programs understand the financial status of CAHs in their states and areas of greatest need State Flex Programs efficiently collect and analyze data from CAHMPAS and their needs assessments, use resources, and maximize the impact of funds State Flex Programs and CAH staff understand financial indicators, relevance to operations, and strategies for improvement State Flex Programs are able to respond to changing environments or needs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High quality healthcare is available to rural communities and aligned with community needs. High value healthcare is delivered to patients and communities, resulting in healthier rural people.
	2.2 Individual CAH specific needs assessment and action planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CAHs with greater needs identified and action plans designed Group activities, consulting services, or cohorts designed to address high needs CAHs Number and percent of CAHs meeting the benchmark for a financial or operational indicator Evidence-based or high potential financial or operational improvement interventions/ activities identified to address needs Number and percent of CAHs undergoing an in-depth assessment and action planning process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduced number of CAHs in high financial risk CAH staff effectively utilize CAHMPAS data to inform decisions CAH staff understand community assets, markets, and improvement strategies and are able to respond to changing needs Number and percent of CAHs showing improvement through the needs assessment and action planning process 	
	2.3 Financial improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number and percent of CAHs implementing financial improvement projects Best practices for billing and coding, revenue management implemented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> State Flex Programs effectively analyze financial data to implement projects CAH finances are stabilized and services are maintained Avoid hospital closures Number and percent of CAHs demonstrating improvement on financial measures appropriate to the interventions implemented. 	
	2.4 Operational improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number and percent of CAHs implementing operational improvement projects Productivity and efficiency benchmarks established Best practices implemented to leverage quality performance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CAH staff understand and effectively implement all regulatory requirements Patients continue to receive care locally Service lines meet the needs of the community. Number and percent of CAHs demonstrating improvement on operational measures appropriate to the interventions implemented. 	
	2.5 Value based payment projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number and percent of CAHs participating in value based payment projects Self-Assessment for Transition Planning completed and results shared CAHs can effectively implement strategies to begin the transition to value based care. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number and percent of CAHs making changes in systems to prepare for participation in value-based payment programs. CAHs are prepared for future models of healthcare Value Based Payment Program is ready to implement 	

*Logic model components and descriptions adapted from Watson, D., Broemeling, A. M., Reid, R. J., & Black, C. (2004). A results-based logic model for primary health care: laying an evidence-based foundation to guide performance measurement, monitoring and evaluation. University of British Columbia, Centre for Health Services and Policy Research; and Watson, D. E., Broemeling, A. M., & Wong, S. T. (2009). A results-based logic model for primary healthcare: a conceptual foundation for population-based information systems. *Healthcare Policy*, 5(Spec No), 33.

