

Measuring the Quality of Swing-Bed Care in Critical Access Hospitals

Ira Moscovice, PhD Michelle Casey, MS Hank Stabler, MPH

Flex Reverse Site Visit Bethesda, MD July 19, 2017



Funded by the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy www.ruralhealthresearch.org



Acknowledgements

- This research was supported by the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy (FORHP), Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) under PHS Grant #5U1CRH03717.
- The information, conclusions and opinions expressed are those of the authors and no endorsement by FORHP, HRSA, or HHS is intended or should be inferred.



Background

- CAH swing-bed quality of care is an important Medicare policy issue that has received little attention.
- Recent studies have focused on the cost of swing-bed care (e.g., Office of the Inspector General 2015).
- Swing-beds have not been included in national efforts to address comparability of post-acute quality measures (e.g., IMPACT Act and NQF).



Background

- Swing-bed programs in rural Prospective Payment System hospitals and Skilled Nursing Facilities must submit Minimum Data Set patient data to CMS. CAHs are exempt.
- CAHs are not uniformly demonstrating the quality of care provided to their swing-bed patients.
- Inability to demonstrate swing bed quality potentially limits CAHs' ability to participate in alternative payment models.



Purpose of Project

 To identify quality measures that can be used to assess the quality of care provided to CAH swing-bed patients, and recommend appropriate uses of the measures.



Research Questions

- Are any quality measures being used in acute or other post-acute care settings potentially relevant for CAH swing-bed patients?
- How are CAHs currently assessing the quality of care provided to their swing-bed patients?
- Which quality measures would be the most useful and feasible to assess the quality of CAH swing-bed care?



Methods

- Review of literature and organizational websites
 - Identify quality domains/measures used in acute & other post-acute care settings
- Identify hospitals for interviews with input from UMRHRC Expert Work Group members
- Identify state/network efforts to assess CAH swingbed quality of care and additional hospitals to interview with input from SORH/State Flex contacts
 - 18 Flex states responded to email survey; 6 reported some activity related to CAH swing-bed quality



Methods (cont.)

- Phone interviews to discuss efforts to assess swing-bed quality of care, including measures being used/considered, data collection strategies, usefulness
 - CAH networks in NY, WV, and IL
 - Several consultant groups working with CAHs on swing-bed quality issues
 - Individual CAHs in AK, KY, MN, MT, NE, SC, WI, WV and rural PPS hospitals in MS, NH



- Discharge Disposition
 - Discharge to home (or other pre-hospital setting) vs. other location
 - Discharge location by patient category (deconditioned, neuro, ortho): home, transfer to higher level of care, transfer to LTC/SNF



- Readmissions
 - Inpatient hospital readmission from home within 30 days of swing-bed or inpatient discharge
 - Readmission to acute care from swing bed:
 with & without primary diagnosis
 - Readmission back to swing bed



- Average length of stay (LOS) for swingbed patients
 - Average LOS
 - Average LOS vs. current goal for LOS
- Analysis of LOS and cost to demonstrate total cost & value relative to SNF care



- Therapy/Functional Status
 - CMS Minimum Data Set (MDS): functional status initial assessment and discharge scores on 0-4 scale measuring independence/need for assistance with activities of daily living (ADLs)



- Therapy/Functional Status
 - Time from admit to evaluation and treat for therapy services
 - Barthel Index: admit and discharge scores for 10 items on 0-2 scale measuring independence/need for assistance with ADLs



- Therapy/Functional Status (cont.)
 - Functional Independence Measure (FIM):
 measure gain from admission to discharge in
 motor and cognitive items; part of CMS
 Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility PPS
 - Physical therapy assessment scales (e.g., balance, walking, risk of falls)



- Process of care/teamwork measures
 - Weekly multidisciplinary team conducts bedside rounds
 - Patient goal and team goal identified, team discusses goals with patient
 - Highest risk to patient identified
 - Update communication board daily
 - Team plan for discharge



- Patient Satisfaction/Assessment of Care
 - Qualitative (e.g., follow-up phone call after discharge)
 - Quantitative (e.g., patient satisfaction survey administered to swing-bed and inpatient discharges by Press-Ganey; considering variations of HCAHPS survey)
 - Survey development efforts underway



- Potential additional measures (primarily from IMPACT domains and MDS elements)
 - Skin integrity (pressure ulcer status)
 - Medication reconciliation
 - Incidence of major falls
 - Transfer of health information and care preferences when an individual transitions
 - Healthcare Associated Infections



Results: Interview Themes

- Motivation to assess CAH swing-bed quality
 - Assess whether patients are getting appropriate care;
 help them return home as quickly as possible; prevent hospital readmissions
 - CAH desire to increase patient volume in swing-bed programs, compare swing-bed care to SNFs
 - Ensure compliance with CMS requirements/ intent regarding swing-bed care



Results: Interview Themes

- Challenges measuring CAH swing-bed quality
 - Deciding which specific measures to use
 - Are different functional measures needed for different categories of medical diagnoses? (e.g., ortho patient getting intensive physical therapy vs. pneumonia patient on IV antibiotics)
 - Ensuring data collection and entry is not a burden



Results: Interview Themes

- Challenges measuring CAH swing-bed quality (cont.)
 - Some measures are not collected/analyzed separately for inpatient & swing-bed patients
 - EHR limitations/need to manually abstract some data elements
 - Staff resources; turnover in key staff positions



Summary

- CAH swing-bed quality initiatives are just getting started with measure selection and initial data collection
- Some data is being used within CAHs to identify quality issues/improve care; some data is being shared with CAH networks & consultant groups



Summary

- General agreement on importance of measuring discharge disposition, LOS, and readmissions, but...
 - Measure specifications may differ
 - May be important to consider diagnosis category when evaluating discharge disposition
 - Readmission to another hospital may be difficult to track
- Multiple measures/tools are available for assessing functional status



Questions for Discussion

- What do you think the top priority areas should be for measuring swing-bed quality?
- Should CAH swing-bed quality measures be added to MBQIP?



Additional Information

Ira Moscovice: mosco001@umn.edu

Michelle Casey: mcasey@umn.edu

rhrc.umn.edu

